INSTITUT KEUSAHAWANAN NEGARA BERHAD CONSULTANT REVIEW SESSION FORMAT

FOR *RENDERING OF SERVICES FOR CANDIDATE EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT FOR ANUGERAH USAHAWAN MADANI INSKEN (AUMI) 2025*

PART A: COMPANY PROFILE

Company/Business Name		
Registration No.	Ė	
	•	
Year Incorporated	:	
Type of Business Activity	:	
Industry	:	
Address & Phone No	:	
Shareholder(s)/ Partner(s) &	:	1. Name
Highest Academic Qualifications		Education
& Working Experience		Position
		Experience
Lead Consultant, Assistant	:	2. Name
Consultants Line up &		Education
Specialisation,		Position
Highest Academic Qualifications		Experience
& Consultancy Experience		3. Name
		Education
		Position
		Experience
Last year turnover (2024)	:	RM XXXX
Full Employee(s)	:	XX employees
Experience in industry	:	XX years
Experience in consultancy	:	XX years
services		

Track Record	:	Please include both government and private entities

PART B: SCOPE OF WORK DELIVERY

Please **outline**, **with technicalities**, **on the proposed approach and delivery** of each scope of work as stipulated in the table below. Please **utilize table format** to ensure information are concise for ease of reference.

No.	Item	Remark			
1	Objective	i. To evaluate and assess applications from			
		interested alumnus for the awards.			
		ii. To determine the suitable winner for each			
		category of the awards.			
		iii. To conduct additional assessments, if			
		required.			
2	List of	i. Anugerah Usahawan MADANI (5 Awards)			
	Awards	Demonstrates entrepreneurial development			
		growth in line with the values of Malaysia			
		Madani.			
		ii. Anugerah Usahawan Gemilang (5 Awards)			
		Symbolizes the journey of an entrepreneur's			
		success from the beginning to becoming a			
		business icon.			
		iii. Anugerah Usahawan Harapan (5 Awards)			
		Provides inspiration to other entrepreneurs to			
		build successful businesses in the future.			

No.	Item	Remark
		iv. Anugerah Legasi Usahawan (5 Awards)
		Recognizing the business journey from
		inception to its success.
3.1	Scope of	Phase 1 - Public Application
	Work (SOW)	i. Develop the most suitable criteria for each
		award.
		ii. Facilitate with promotion of the award to
		obtain applications.
		iii. Develop suitable platform or mechanism for
		applicants to submit their documents.
		iv. Other relevant scopes that facilitate the
		application process.
3.2		Phase 2 – Screening and Evaluation
		i. Conduct due diligence on all submitted
	(documents to ensure it is sufficient for
		evaluation.
		ii. Evaluate each application based on the
		criteria set.
		iii. Ascertain information provided are correct
		based on best practices.
		iv. Develop suitable scoring methods for
		panellist.
		v. Conduct on site premise visits to a minimum
		of 3 finalised nominations.
		vi. Present to the relevant committee on the
		finalised nominations for deliberation and
		identify suitable winners.

No.	Item	Remark			
		vii. Authenticate the validity of the business			
		nominated to ensure it is legal and in			
		accordance with local laws.			
		viii. Other relevant scopes deemed necessary.			
3.3		Phase 3 - Winner Selection			
		i. Develop background and summary of each			
		winner for the award ceremony.			
		ii. Certify each winner upon completing the			
		entire selection process.			
		iii. Other relevant scopes deemed necessary.			
3.4		Other Necessary Scopes:			
		i. Advise INSKEN's working team on the most			
		suitable approach which adheres to the			
		relevant industrial standards to conduct the			
		necessary processes.			
		ii. If required, to engage with the applicant to			
		ascertain information provided are correct			
		and valid.			
		iii. Attend meetings alongside INSKEN's working			
		team to provide updates on the current			
		status and provide relevant feedback, if			
		necessary.			
		iv. Other relevant scopes deemed necessary.			
4	Timeline	Rendering of services to commence immediately			
		upon appointment targeted in August 2025 and			
		the award ceremony is scheduled in December			
		2025.			

No.	Item		Remark			
5	Consultant's	i.	To identify a minimum of 3 finalist for further			
	Key		evaluation for each award category.			
	Performance	ii.	To present a minimum of 3 finalist for each			
	Indicators		category to a designated committee to			
	(KPIs)		identify the winner.			
		iii.	Certify each winner whilst adhering to			
			industrial standards and best practices.			

Table 1: Summary of services required from the appointed consultant

PART C: PROPOSED TIMELINE

Please propose a timeline to complete the entire process from evaluation until selection of winner for each award.

PART D: PROPOSED COSTING

NO	ITEM	UNIT	TOTAL COST (RM)
1	Consultancy Services Fee		
2	Reports Development Fee		
3	Evaluation Fee		
4	Out of Pocket Expenses		
5	Other Applicable Fees (Please outline each item under this category)		
	Total RM		

Note: The costing is subject to further negotiation